IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

) Civil Action No.: 2:17-01500-MGL
)
OPINION AND ORDER
)
)
))

This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Mary Gordon Baker, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. Plaintiff Denise M. Torres ("Plaintiff"), brought this action seeking judicial review of the final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security ("Commissioner") denying Plaintiff's claim for Disability Insurance Benefits and Supplemental Security Income.

On July 11, 2018, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation in which she recommended the Commissioner's decision be reversed and remanded for further administrative proceedings. ECF No. 19. Plaintiff filed no objections to the Report and Recommendation. On July 25, 2018, the Commissioner filed "Defendant's Notice of Not Filing Objections to the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge." ECF No. 21.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The Court is charged with making a *de novo*

determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court

may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or

recommit the matter to her with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In the absence of a timely

filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must "only satisfy

itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation."

Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir.2005).

The Court has carefully reviewed the record and concurs in the recommendation of the

Magistrate Judge. The Court adopts the Report and Recommendation and incorporates it herein

by reference. The decision of the Commissioner to deny benefits is **REVERSED** and the action

is **REMANDED** for further administrative action consistent with this order and the Report and

Recommendation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Mary Geiger LewisMARY GEIGER LEWISUNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

July 27, 2018 Columbia, South Carolina